

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/593,785	06/1	14/2000	Thomas A. Shreiner	Ap32438.70121 7746	
21003	7590	04/03/2003			
BAKER &			EXAMINER		
30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10112				NGUYEN, CHI Q	
				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3635	
				DATE MAILED: 04/03/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

——————————————————————————————————————	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
<b>A</b> \.	09/593,785	SHREINER ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Chi Q Nguyen	3635					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.							
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,</li> <li>Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).</li> </ul>	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>24 J</u>							
,	s action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims							
•	ling in the application						
4) Claim(s) 1-5,8-10,14-16,19 and 20 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	,						
5)							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.						
Application Papers	······································						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>14 June 2000</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e	e) (to a provisional application).					
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti</li> </ul>	• •						
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 3	5) Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S. Patent and Trademark Office							

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/593,785

Art Unit: 3635

4.4

### **DETAILED ACTION**

This office action is response to the applicant's election without traverse for claims 1-5, 8-10, 13-16, and 19-20 filed on 1/24/03 is acknowledged. Claims 6-7, 11-12, and 17-18 are withdrawn, accordingly.

### **Drawings**

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a) because they fail to show 16, building member, a rod, and a groove 128g as described in the specification. Any structural detail that is essential for a proper understanding of the disclosed invention should be shown in the drawing. MPEP § 608.02(d). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5, 13, and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hallsten (US 5,911,662).

Hallsten discloses a tank cover structure comprising a pair of elongated frame 34, a cover 30 is generally rectangular panels 32 arranged side-by-side to form the cover.

The panels 32 are preferable constructed from a plurality of same side planks 36 parallel arranged edge-to-edge and aligned along the length of the cover (see figs. 1, 8,

Application/Control Number: 09/593,785

Art Unit: 3635

**c.**1,

and 25, cols. 5-6), the rubber-like seal 368, also serving as a structural supporting member 34 for the deck assembly (see col. 13, lines 63-67, col. 14, lines 7-9).

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 8-10, 14-15, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hallsten (US 5,911,662) in view of Ault (US 3,967,426).

  Hallsten teaches the structural elements for the gap cover as disclosed in paragraph 3. Hallsten does not teach each of the formed panel members has corrugations comprises a plurality of transversely spaced-apart planar upper web portions, a plurality of transversely spaced-apart planar lower web portions staggered between the upper web portions, a rib portion joining each edge of each upper web portion to an edge of each lower web portion. Ault teaches reinforced composite slab assembly comprises a corrugated metal panel 2 having a plurality of transversely spaced-apart planar upper, lower web portions 12, 8, respectively, a rib portion 6 joining each edge of each upper portion 12 to an edge of each lower web portion 8 (see fig. 1). At the time of the invention, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to combine Hallsten with Ault for the cover panel having corrugation. The motivation for doing so would have been to provide more integrity for cover module.



Art Unit: 3635

#### Conclusion

6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Stodulka (US 6,098,359), Fishburn (US 4,706,432), Hartman (US 4,682,456), Stohs (US 4,837,994), Pressell (US 4,461,131) teach covering members.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chi Q. Nguyen whose telephone number is (703) 605-1224, Monday-Thursday (7:00-5:30), Fridays off or examiner's supervisor, Carl Friedman can be reached at (703) 308-0839. The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding assigned are (703) 872-9326 for regular communications and (703) 872-9327 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Clungy CQN 3/27/03

Carl D. Friedman
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600